

Annales Academiae Medicae Silesiensis

Please do not fill in and submit this PDF as your review. The final review should be sent only via **electronic form** available in Editorial System.

I have read the rules of reviewing papers in the journal “Annales Academiae Medicae Silesiensis”. Any relationships and circumstances which may adversely affect the publishing process of a manuscript subjected to review were disclosed in the letter to the editor-in-chief.

1. Detailed evaluation of the manuscript against other researches published to this point. Please award the points, ticking the proper place in the following table (1 - lowest rate; 6 - highest rate):

Novelty of the manuscript

- ☐ 6
☐ 5
☐ 4
☐ 3
☐ 2
☐ 1

Importance of obtained results

- ☐ 6
☐ 5
☐ 4
☐ 3
☐ 2
☐ 1

Methods, statistical analysis and data quality

- ☐ 6
☐ 5
☐ 4
☐ 3
☐ 2
☐ 1

Presentation of results

- ☐ 6
☐

- ☐ 5
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 1

Discussion quality

- ☐ 6
- ☐ 5
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 1

Reference selection

- ☐ 6
- ☐ 5
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 1

2. Does the manuscript meet the ethical requirements?

- ☐ yes
- ☐ no

3. Anti-plagiarism analysis

- ☐ accept
- ☐ disqualify

4. Reviewer conclusion

- ☐ accept without changes
- ☐ accept after changes suggested by reviewer
- ☐ rate manuscript once again after major changes and another review
- ☐ reject

5. Information for Editors (not visible for authors)

6. General information for Authors

7. Detailed information for Authors (optional)