

Acta Silvestria

Please do not fill in and submit this PDF as your review. The final review should be sent only via **electronic form** available in Editorial System.

1. REVIEW OF THE PAPER

Does the work contribute something new to the body of knowledge?

Are the objectives, research hypothesis, and title of the work properly formulated?

Does the section “Material and methods” present precisely all the necessary data? Is the methodology correct, and does it facilitate meeting of the work’s objective?

Do the findings presented in the “Results” section meet the assumed research objective? Are they well documented and original?

Does the “Discussion of the results” section contain their proper interpretation against the background of the latest literature? Have new scientific findings resulting from this work been clearly defined?

Are all tables and figures necessary and legible?

Are the references cited correctly selected?

Does the abstract/summary provide sufficient information about the content of the work?

Detailed remarks pertaining to the content of the work may be given on a separate sheet, with reference to line numbering.

2. Reviewer conclusion

- ☐ can be published as is
- ☐ requires minor corrections
- ☐ requires thorough changes and corrections
- ☐ requires thorough changes and corrections and re-review
- ☐ work not recommended for publication in "Acta Silvestria" (Justification required)

3. Information for Editors (not visible for authors)

4. Information for Authors

