

Review form

Please do not fill in and submit this PDF as your review. The final review should be sent only via **electronic form** available in Editorial System.

1. Reviewer's form

Do the issues being presented in the paper constitute a significant contribution to science?

- Yes
- Partly
- No

Does the issue presented in the paper have practical applications?

- Yes
- Partly
- No

Predicted interest among specialists:

- Great
- Little
- No

The paper concerns issues:

- Local
- Regional
- General

Does the paper bring new ideas to the existing literature on the subject?

- Yes
- Partly
- No

Is the paper an original study (i.e., is it more than a summary of the existing literature in the field)?

- Yes
- Partly
- No

Review form

Is the aim of article clearly specified and realized?

- Yes
- Partly
- No

Does the paper's title match its content?

- Yes
- Partly
- No

Is the research topic presented clearly?

- Yes
- Partly
- No

Does the article have a logical layout?

- Yes
- Partly
- No

Is the article excessively long?

- Yes
- Partly
- No

Is the subject bibliography sufficient?

- Yes
- Partly
- No

Is the language of article correct?

- Yes
- Partly
- No

Do the paper's conclusions follow logically from the development of the argument?

Review form

- Yes
- Partly
- No

Is the text adequately illustrated (tables, figures)?

- Yes
- Illustrations insufficient
- Illustrations absent

Provided that the article is to be accepted after some corrections, should it be re-reviewed?

- Yes
- No

2. Reviewer conclusion

- accept without changes
- minor changes
- major changes
- reject

3. Information for Editors (not visible for authors)

4. Information for Authors:

- are there any illustrations which are unnecessary?
- suggested corrections (changes and corrections can also be noted in the text itself)