
Review form

Scientific Journals of Poznan University of Technology series of "Organization and Management"

Please do not fill in and submit this PDF as your review. The final review should be sent only via **electronic form** available in Editorial System.

1. Assessment (1-the highest score, 5 - the lowest score)

Is the article consistent with the journal topic?



Does the article title correspond to its content?

1
2
3
4
5
No opinion / not applicable

Is the subject of the article current?

1
2
3
4
5
N

No opinion / not applicable

Are the presented results and methods opening up new perspectives or areas of research and applications in the given field?

1
2
3
4
5



Review form	Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Poznańskiej organizacia i zarzadzanie	Scientific Journals of Poznan University of Technology ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT
No opinion / not applicable		
Does the author clearly state what he/she 1 2 3 4 5 No opinion / not applicable	has achieved?	
Is the article properly edited?	relevant and sufficient?	
 3 4 5 No opinion / not applicable 		
Is the number of references with the Impare 1 2 3 4	ct Factor indicator sufficient?	

5

No opinion / not applicable

Is the article language correct?

1
2
3



	scientific Jou Jniversity of
--	---------------------------------

Review form

4
5
Ν

No opinion / not applicable

Is the summary	properly formatted?
----------------	---------------------

1
2
3
4
5
No opinion / not applicable

Are the key words selected correctly?

1
2
3
4
5
No opinion / not applicable

Is foreign language terminology correct?

1
2
3
4
5
No opinion / not applicable

Is there consistent use of SI units?

1
2
3
4
5
No opinion / not applicable

1

Are diagrams and figures chosen properly?



Review form

2 3 4 5 No opinion / not applicable

2. The quality of included information is:

- very good
- good
- average
- poor

3. The expected interest in the article is:

wide
narrow
none

4. The usefulness of publishing:

- necessary publication
- desirable publication
- unnecessary publication

5. Reviewer conclusion

- accept without changes
- accept after changes suggested by reviewer
- rate manuscript once again after major changes and another review
- reject

6. Information for Editors (not visible for authors)

7. Information for Authors